## Intermediate Logics: From Hypersequents to Concurrent Computation

## Agata Ciabattoni

## Vienna University of Technology

We provide a general proof-theoretic framework connecting logic and concurrent computation. We describe an algorithm for introducing analytic calculi in a uniform and systematic way for a large class of logics [4]. Our calculi use hypersequents, which are sequents working in parallell [3]. Reformulated first as natural deduction systems [7,5,6], the introduced hypersequent calculi are employed to provide a concurrent computational interpretation for many intermediate logics, classical logic included [1,2].

We use the CurryHoward correspondence to obtain new typed concurrent  $\lambda$ -calculi, each of which features a specific communication mechanism and implements techniques for handling and transmitting process closures.

## References

- Aschieri, F., A. Ciabattoni and F. A. Genco, Gödel logic: From natural deduction to parallel computation, in: Proceedings of Logic in Computer Science (LICS 2017), 2017, pp. 1–12.
- [2] Aschieri, F., A. Ciabattoni and F. A. Genco, Classical proofs as parallel programs (2018), submitted.
- [3] Avron, A., Hypersequents, logical consequence and intermediate logics for concurrency, Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence 4 (1991), pp. 225–248.
- [4] Ciabattoni, A., N. Galatos and K. Terui, From axioms to analytic rules in nonclassical logics, in: Proceedings of Logic in Computer Science (LICS 2008), 2008, pp. 229–240.
- [5] Ciabattoni, A. and F. A. Genco, Embedding formalisms: Hypersequents and two-level systems of rules, in: Proceedings of Advances in Modal Logic 2016 (AIML 2016), College Publications, 11 (2016), pp. 197–216.
- [6] Ciabattoni, A. and F. A. Genco, Hypersequents and systems of rules: Embeddings and applications, ACM TOCL 19 (2018), pp. 1–27.
- [7] Negri, S., Proof analysis beyond geometric theories: From rule systems to systems of rules, J. Logic Comput 26 (2016), pp. 513–537.